

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, | Case Number09-mj-70848HRL |
|---|---|
| v. TRUNEL BUTLER, Defendant. | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING REVOCATION HEARING |
| In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. was held on September 28, 2009. Defendant was present, represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney Grant Fondo. PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE | § 3142, 3143(a) and Criminal Rule 32.1(a)(b), a detention hearing presented by his attorney Cynthia Lie AFPD. The United States was |
| of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while | ibed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a late of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment, |
| This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no co of any other person and the community. | ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | nent) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant |
| A for which a maximum term of imp 801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955 | A * |
| | firearm during the commission of a felony. |
| This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no co | ndition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the |
| appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the | |
| / X / No presumption applies but defendant has bur | den of offering clear and convincing evidence that his not a flight risk |
| or a danger to the community. | FII Er |
| / X / The defendant has not come forward with suf | ficient evidence to meet his burden, and he therefore will be ordered |
| detained. | CED 9 a con- |
| / / The defendant has come forward with evidence | to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: . SEP 2 9 2009 |
| Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United S | States. RICHARD W. WIEKING |
| PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR) | (NAPPLICABLE) CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COUNTERN DISTRICT OF CALIF |
| / / The United States has proved to a preponderand | e of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will |
| reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as require | i, AND/OR |
| | incing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will |
| reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the com | |
| PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT O | • |
| | out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at |
| the hearing and finds as follows: | and the state of the minimum submitted at |
| / / Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have wa | ived written findings. |
| PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | ney General or his designated representative for confinement in a |
| | is awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal |
| | vate consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the |
| | at, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the |
| defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an app | |
| | The same of the second |
| 1 1 | |
| - 1 1 | Ih Hansa |
| Dated: $9/29/05$ | 11/2/ |
| $(\mathcal{L} \mathcal{L})$ | WARD R. LLOYD |
| \ <i>\</i> | ted States Magistrate Judge |

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____